

AUTONOMOUS JABALPUR- 482001 MADHYA PRADESH, INDIA



CRITERION-1



CURRICULAR ASPECTS

Key Indicator – 1.3


Curriculum Enrichment

Metric No.: 1.3.2

Number of certificate/value added courses /Diploma Programmes offered by the institutions and online courses of MOOCs, SWAYAM/e-PG Pathshala/ NPTEL and other recognized Platforms where the students of the institution have enrolled and successfully completed during the last five year

Document Name

Feedback of Value-Added Courses 2023-2024



Feedback on Certificate Course Recent Techniques in Zoology

"Recent Techniques in Zoology" Feedback of participants Session 2023-24

1	How was the course content of the certificate course -
	g) Excellent
-	b) Very good
	c) Good
	d) Satisfactory
2.	The practical concept given were-
_	a) Excellent
	b) Very good
	c) Good
	d) Satisfactory
3.	The time duration was-
	a) Too long
	b) Too short
_	c)-Appropriate
	What did you gain from the exercises given during certificate course. 1. get to leasen more practical nelich is
5.	What did you like most in the course-Preadinal Per

6.	Anything suggestions opinion- the Cartificate course should be conducted every year to with more Recent inventions
	Diatrandigo.

	w was the course content of the certificate course - Excellent
-	Very good
	Good
d)	Satisfactory
2. Th	e practical concept given were-
3)	Excellent
b)	Very good
c)	Good
d)	Satisfactory
3. Th	ne time duration was-
a)	Too long
b)	Tgo short
(ي	Appropriate
4. W	That did you gain from the exercises given during certificate course- We gained lapetiene A make memory with
5. W	The positive asput of this rouse. Is getting
6. A	Anything suggestions/ opinion-
	Hin



(AUTONOMOUS), JABALPUR(M.P.)

Reaccredited 'A+' Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00)
College with Potential for Excellence (CPE) by UGC
DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT.


1	. How was the course content of the certificate course -
	a) Excellent
	b) Very good
	c) Good
	d) Satisfactory
2.	The practical concept given were-
	a) Excellent
	b) Very good
	c) Good
	d) Satisfactory
3.	The time duration was-
	a) Too long
	b) Too short
	c) Appropriate
4.	What did you gain from the exercises given during certificate course- They work grite uppressessive and good at the acedemic level of perspectives.
5.	What did you like most in the course- Practical work of course.
6.	Anything suggestions/opinion- No suggestions everything was to notch. the should be extended in time wise.
	diag.

How was the course content of the certificate course - Excellent	
b) Very good	
c) Good	
d) Satisfactory	
2. The practical concept given were-	
ii) Excellent	
b) Very good	
c) Good	
d) Satisfactory	
3. The time duration was-	
a) Too long	
b) Too short	
(a) Appropriate	
4. What did you gain from the exercises given during certificate c	Omithian alle
5. What did you like most in the course- The techniques that are tang 	
. Anything suggestions/opinion- Energything was perfect. no sugge	estion needed.
	Wille

1. How was the course content of the certificate course -
a) Excellent
b) Very good
c) Good
d) Satisfactory
2. The practical concept given were-
a) Excellent
b) Very good
c) Good
d) Satisfactory
3. The time duration was-
a) Too long
b) Too short
c) Appropriate
4. What did you gain from the exercises given during certificate course-
I gained technical skills through this
Certificate Course
5. What did you like most in the course-
Practical part - permanent mount of stides
6. Anything suggestions/opinion-
No, thank you.
Sisher

1. How was the course content of the certific	rate course -
(f) Excellent	
b) Very good	
c) Good	
d) Satisfactory	
2. The practical concept given were-	
a) Excellent	
b) Very good	
c) Good	
d) Satisfactory	
All the second s	
3. The time duration was-	
a) Too long	
b) Too short	
(C) Appropriate	
	en during certificate course-
5. What did you like most in the course-	of their uses
•••••	
6. Anything suggestions/ opinion-	

	Kin



Feedback on Certificate Course French Learning Programme




(AUTONOMOUS), JABALPUR(M.P.)
Reaccredited 'A+' Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00)
College with Potential for Excellence (CPE) by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT.

(1 - Not relevant, 2 - Slightly relevant, 3 - Moderately relevant, 4 - Very relevant, 5 - Highly relevant) 2. Did the course meet your expectations in terms of learning outcomes? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Mostly, 5 - Exceeded expectations) 3. How would you rate the quality of teaching and instruction in the course? (1 - Poor, 2 - Fair, 3 - Good, 4 - Very good, 5 - Exceeded) 4. How effectivewas practical application integrated into the course?		
AME OF THE STUDENT: Arrows gupta. CAME OF THE COURSE: Al, Al and Bl, B2. DRGANIZING DEPARTMENT: Dept of Arplish. SESSION: 2023-24 1. How relevant was the content of the value-added course to your academic of professional goals? (1 - Not relevant, 2 - Slightly relevant, 3 - Moderately relevant, 4 - Very relevant, 5 - Highly relevant, 2 - Slightly relevant, 3 - Moderately relevant, 4 - Very relevant, 5 - Highly relevant, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Mostly, 5 - Exceeded expectations) 3. How would you rate the quality of teaching and instruction in the course? (1 - Poor, 2 - Fair, 3 - Good, 4 - Very good, 5 - Exceeded: 1 - Ineffective, 2 - Slightly effective, 3 - Moderately effective, 4 - Very effective, 5 - Highly effective) 5. Did the course provide opportunities for interaction and discussion with instructors and peers? (1 - None, 2 - Few, 3 - Some, 4 - Many, 5 - Plenty) 6. How well did the course prepare you for real-world applications or challenges in your field? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Extremely well) 7. How accessible and responsive were the instructors throughout the course? (1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 - Extremely accessible) 8. How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfgetfy) 9. How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? YES NO 10. ANY SUUGESTIONS- Accessible Accessi		
PRGANIZING DEPARTMENT: Dayl of Replich. SESSION: 2023-24 1. How relevant was the content of the value-added course to your academic of professional goals? (1 - Not relevant, 2 - Slightly relevant, 3 - Moderately relevant, 4 - Very relevant, 5 - Highly relevant? 2. Did the course meet your expectations in terms of learning outcomes? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Mostly, 5 - Exceeded expectations) 3. How would you rate the quality of teaching and instruction in the course? (1 - Poor, 2 - Fair, 3 - Good, 4 - Very good, 5 - Exceeding and instruction in the course? (1 - Ineffective as practical application integrated into the course? (1 - Ineffective, 2 - Slightly effective, 3 - Moderately effective, 4 - Very effective, 5 - Highly effective) 5. Did the course provide opportunities for interaction and discussion with instructors and peers? (1 - None, 2 - Few, 3 - Some, 4 - Many, 5 - Plenty) 6. How well did the course prepare you for real-world applications or challenges in your field? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Extremely well) 7. How accessible and responsive were the instructors throughout the course? (1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 - Extremely Accessible) 8. How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfectly) 9. How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfectly) 9. How well did the course of the state of the stat		FEEDBACK SURVEY
PRGANIZING DEPARTMENT: Dayl of Replich. SESSION: 2023-24 1. How relevant was the content of the value-added course to your academic of professional goals? (1 - Not relevant, 2 - Slightly relevant, 3 - Moderately relevant, 4 - Very relevant, 5 - Highly relevant? 2. Did the course meet your expectations in terms of learning outcomes? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Mostly, 5 - Exceeded expectations) 3. How would you rate the quality of teaching and instruction in the course? (1 - Poor, 2 - Fair, 3 - Good, 4 - Very good, 5 - Exceeding and instruction in the course? (1 - Ineffective as practical application integrated into the course? (1 - Ineffective, 2 - Slightly effective, 3 - Moderately effective, 4 - Very effective, 5 - Highly effective) 5. Did the course provide opportunities for interaction and discussion with instructors and peers? (1 - None, 2 - Few, 3 - Some, 4 - Many, 5 - Plenty) 6. How well did the course prepare you for real-world applications or challenges in your field? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Extremely well) 7. How accessible and responsive were the instructors throughout the course? (1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 - Extremely Accessible) 8. How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfectly) 9. How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfectly) 9. How well did the course of the state of the stat	NAME (OF THE STUDENT: Among quests
1. How relevant was the content of the value-added course to your academic of professional goals? (1 - Not relevant, 2 - Slightly relevant, 3 - Moderately relevant, 4 - Very relevant, 5 - Highly relevant) 2. Did the course meet your expectations in terms of learning outcomes? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Mostly, 5 - Exceeded expectations) 3. How would you rate the quality of teaching and instruction in the course? (1 - Poor, 2 - Fair, 3 - Good, 4 - Very good, 5 - Exceeded expectations) 4. How effectivewas practical application integrated into the course? (1 - Ineffective, 2 - Slightly effective, 3 - Moderately effective, 4 - Very effective, 5 - Highly effective) 5. Did the course provide opportunities for interaction and discussion with instructors and peers? (1 - None, 2 - Few, 3 - Some, 4 - Many, 5 - Plenty) 6. How well did the course prepare you for real-world applications or challenges in your field? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Extremely well) 7. How accessible and responsive were the instructors throughout the course? (1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 - Extremely occasible, 5 - Extremely occasible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 6 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perforty) 8. How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perforty) 9. How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? YES NO 10. Any SUUGESTIONS- Accessible Added the course of the Added Course to others?	NAME (OF THE COURSE: ALAL and BL BO
1. How relevant was the content of the value-added course to your academic of professional goals? (1 - Not relevant, 2 - Slightly relevant, 3 - Moderately relevant, 4 - Very relevant, 5 - Highly relevant) 2. Did the course meet your expectations in terms of learning outcomes? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Mostly, 5 - Exceeded expectations) 3. How would you rate the quality of teaching and instruction in the course? (1 - Poor, 2 - Fair, 3 - Good, 4 - Very good, 5 - Exceedent) 4. How effectivewas practical application integrated into the course? (1 - Ineffective, 2 - Slightly effective, 3 - Moderately effective, 4 - Very effective, 5 - Highly effective) 5. Did the course provide opportunities for interaction and discussion with instructors and peers? (1 - None, 2 - Few, 3 - Some, 4 - Many, 5 - Plenty) 6. How well did the course prepare you for real-world applications or challenges in your field? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Extremely well) 7. How accessible and responsive were the instructors throughout the course? (1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 - Extremely accessible) 8. How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfectly) 9. How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? YES NO 10. ANY SUUGESTIONS- Accessible Advanced Ad	ORGAN	IZING DEPARTMENT: Day of Gradies
(1 - Not relevant, 2 - Slightly relevant, 3 - Moderately relevant, 4 - Very relevant, 5 - Highly relevant) 2. Did the course meet your expectations in terms of learning outcomes? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Mostly, 5 - Exceeded expectations) 3. How would you rate the quality of teaching and instruction in the course? (1 - Poor, 2 - Fair, 3 - Good, 4 - Very good, 5 - Exceedint) 4. How effectivewas practical application integrated into the course? (1 - Ineffective, 2 - Slightly effective, 3 - Moderately effective, 4 - Very effective, 5 - Highly effective) 5. Did the course provide opportunities for interaction and discussion with instructors and peers? (1 - None, 2 - Few, 3 - Some, 4 - Many, 5 - Plenty) 6. How well did the course prepare you for real-world applications or challenges in your field? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Extremely well) 7. How accessible and responsive were the instructors throughout the course? (1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 - Extremely accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 6 - Extremely accessible, 9 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perforty) 8. How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perforty) 9. How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? YES NO 10. ANY SUUGESTIONS- August 15 - Perforty	SESSIO	N: 2013-24
(1 - Not relevant, 2 - Slightly relevant, 3 - Moderately relevant, 4 - Very relevant, 5 - Highly relevant) 2. Did the course meet your expectations in terms of learning outcomes? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Mostly, 5 - Exceeded expectations) 3. How would you rate the quality of teaching and instruction in the course? (1 - Poor, 2 - Fair, 3 - Good, 4 - Very good, 5 - Exceeded expectations) 4. How effectivewas practical application integrated into the course? (1 - Ineffective, 2 - Slightly effective, 3 - Moderately effective, 4 - Very effective, 5 - Highly effective) 5. Did the course provide opportunities for interaction and discussion with instructors and peers? (1 - None, 2 - Few, 3 - Some, 4 - Many, 5 - Plenty) 6. How well did the course prepare you for real-world applications or challenges in your field? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Extremely well) 7. How accessible and responsive were the instructors throughout the course? (1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 - Extremely accessible) 8. How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfectly) 9. How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? Yes NO 10. ANY SUUGESTIONS- Accessible A - Very accessible of the Advanced Course to others?	1. 1	low relevant was the content of the value-added course to your academic or
 Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Mostly, 5 - Exceeded expectations) How would you rate the quality of teaching and instruction in the course? (1 - Poor, 2 - Fair, 3 - Good, 4 - Very good, 5 - Exception) How effectivewas practical application integrated into the course? (1 - Ineffective, 2 - Slightly effective, 3 - Moderately effective, 4 - Very effective, 5 - Highly effective) Did the course provide opportunities for interaction and discussion with instructors and peers? (1 - None, 2 - Few, 3 - Some, 4 - Many, 5 - Plenty) How well did the course prepare you for real-world applications or challenges in your field? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Extremely well) How accessible and responsive were the instructors throughout the course? (1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 - Extremely accessible) How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfectly) How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? YES NO 10. ANY SUUGESTIONS- Applied La Hot allege obsert 	1	 Not relevant, 2 - Slightly relevant, 3 - Moderately relevant, 4 - Very relevant, 5 - lighly relevant)
 How would you rate the quality of teaching and instruction in the course? (1 - Poor, 2 - Fair, 3 - Good, 4 - Very good, 5 - Excellent) How effectivewas practical application integrated into the course? (1 - Ineffective, 2 - Slightly effective, 3 - Moderately effective, 4 - Very effective, 5 - Highly effective) Did the course provide opportunities for interaction and discussion with instructors and peers? (1 - None, 2 - Few, 3 - Some, 4 - Many, 5 - Plenty) How well did the course prepare you for real-world applications or challenges in your field? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Extremely well) How accessible and responsive were the instructors throughout the course? (1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 - Extremely accessible) How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfectly) How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? YES NO 10. ANY SUUGESTIONS- Augustable Applied for the course of the stable 	2. 1	Did the course meet your expectations in terms of learning outcomes?
(1 - Poor, 2 - Fair, 3 - Good, 4 - Very good, 5 - Excellent) 4. How effectivewas practical application integrated into the course? (1 - Ineffective, 2 - Slightly effective, 3 - Moderately effective, 4 - Very effective, 5 - Highly effective) 5. Did the course provide opportunities for interaction and discussion with instructors and peers? (1 - None, 2 - Few, 3 - Some, 4 - Many, 5 - Plenty) 6. How well did the course prepare you for real-world applications or challenges in your field? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Extremely well) 7. How accessible and responsive were the instructors throughout the course? (1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 - Extremely accessible) 8. How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfectly) 9. How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? YES NO 10. ANY SUUGESTIONS- Accessible in the course of the second of		
 How effectivewas practical application integrated into the course? (1 - Ineffective, 2 - Slightly effective, 3 - Moderately effective, 4 - Very effective, 5 - Highly effective) Did the course provide opportunities for interaction and discussion with instructors and peers? (1 - None, 2 - Few, 3 - Some, 4 - Many, 5 - Plenty) How well did the course prepare you for real-world applications or challenges in your field? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Extremely well) How accessible and responsive were the instructors throughout the course? (1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 - Extremely accessible) How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfectly) How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? YES NO ANY SUUGESTIONS- And a state of the state		
(1 - Ineffective, 2 - Slightly effective, 3 - Moderately effective, 4 - Very effective, 5 - Highly effective) 5. Did the course provide opportunities for interaction and discussion with instructors and peers? (1 - None, 2 - Few, 3 - Some, 4 - Many, 5 - Plenty) 6. How well did the course prepare you for real-world applications or challenges in your field? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Extremely well) 7. How accessible and responsive were the instructors throughout the course? (1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 - Extremely accessible) 8. How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfectly) 9. How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? YES NO 10. ANY SUUGESTIONS- Accessible to the state of the state		
 Did the course provide opportunities for interaction and discussion with instructors and peers? (1 - None, 2 - Few, 3 - Some, 4 - Many, 5 - Plenty) How well did the course prepare you for real-world applications or challenges in your field? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Extremely well) How accessible and responsive were the instructors throughout the course? (1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 - Extremely accessible) How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfectly) How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? YES NO ANY SUUGESTIONS- And a state of the st		
(1 - None, 2 - Few, 3 - Some, 4 - Many, 5 - Plenty) 6. How well did the course prepare you for real-world applications or challenges in your field? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Extremely well) 7. How accessible and responsive were the instructors throughout the course? (1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 - Extremely accessible) 8. How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfectly) 9. How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? YES NO 10. ANY SUUGESTIONS- Accessible to the state of the sta		
6. How well did the course prepare you for real-world applications or challenges in your field? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Extremely well) 7. How accessible and responsive were the instructors throughout the course? (1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 - Extremely accessible) 8. How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfectly) 9. How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? YES NO 10. ANY SUUGESTIONS- Accessible to the state of the stat	1	peers?
field? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Extremely well) 7. How accessible and responsive were the instructors throughout the course? (1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 - Extremely accessible) 8. How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfectly) 9. How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? YES NO 10. ANY SUUGESTIONS- Accessible to the state of the st		
7. How accessible and responsive were the instructors throughout the course? (1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 - Extremely accessible) 8. How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfectly) 9. How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? YES NO 10. ANY SUUGESTIONS- Awarstalt to be spread in the callege objects		field?
(1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 - Extremely accessible) 8. How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfectly) 9. How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? YES NO 10. ANY SUUGESTIONS- Awareass to be spring in the callege chart		
8. How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfectly) 9. How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? YES NO 10. ANY SUUGESTIONS- Awaretest to be syrled in the callege chart		1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible,
9. How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? YES NO 10. ANY SUUGESTIONS- AWAREAST to be syrled in the callege chart		
10. ANY SUUGESTIONS. AWAREASTS to be syred in the college about		
Awaraness to be syrlor in the callege shout		ANY SUUGESTIONS-
		Awareness to be spread in the callege about
		the course.

FEEDBACK SURVEY	
NAME OF THE STUDENT: Rubin . B. Saku	
NAME OF THE STUDENT: KONGOOK . IS.	
NAME OF THE COURSE: At, A2 & B1582	
ORGANIZING DEPARTMENT: English depost ment	
SESSION: R528. 2024	
1. How relevant was the content of the value-added course to your academic or	
professional goals?	
(1 - Not relevant, 2 - Slightly relevant, 3 - Moderately relevant, 4 - Very relevant, 5 -	
Highly relevant)	
 Did the course meet your expectations in terms of learning outcomes? Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Mostly, 5 - Exceeded expectations) 	
3. How would you rate the quality of teaching and instruction in the course?	
(1 - Poor, 2 - Fair, 3 - Good, 4 - Very good, 5 Excellent)	
How effectivewas practical application integrated into the course?	
(1 Ineffective, 2 - Slightly effective, 3 - Moderately effective, 4 - Very effective, 5 - Highly effective)	
5. Did the course provide opportunities for interaction and discussion with instructors and	
peers?	
 None, 2 - Few, 3 - Some, 4 - Many, 5 Plenty) How well did the course prepare you for real-world applications or challenges in your 	
6. How wen and the course prepare you for real-world applications or chantenges in your field?	
(1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Extremely well)	
How accessible and responsive were the instructors throughout the course?	
(1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 - Extremely accessible)	
8. How well did the course align with current industry trends and demands?	
(1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Perfectly)	
How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? YES NO	
There should be more oreintate	200
amorned brograme	
Callege for I franch	
(ourse.0)	

FEEDBACK SURVEY NAME OF THE STUDENT: ERRIN MATHEM NAME OF THE COURSE: FRENCH LANGUAGE 41, 44, 81, 84 ORGANIZING DEPARTMENT: ENGLISH SESSION: 1. How relevant was the content of the value-added course to your academic or professional goals? (1 - Not relevant, 2 - Slightly relevant, 3 - Moderately relevant, 4 Very relevant, 5 -Highly relevant) Did the course meet your expectations in terms of learning outcomes? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Mostly, 5 Exceeded expectations) How would you rate the quality of teaching and instruction in the course? (1-Poor, 2-Fair, 3-Good, 4-Very good, 5-Excellent) 4. How effectivewas practical application integrated into the course? (1 - Ineffective, 2 - Slightly effective, 3 - Moderately effective, 4 - Very effective, 5 -Highly effective) 5. Did the course provide opportunities for interaction and discussion with instructors and peers? (1 - None, 2 - Few, 3 - Some, 4 - Many & - Plenty) 6. How well did the course prepare you for real-world applications or challenges in your field? (1 - Not at all, 2 - Slightly, 3 - Moderately, 4 - Very well, 5 - Extremely well) 7. How accessible and responsive were the instructors throughout the course? (1 - Not accessible, 2 - Slightly accessible, 3 - Moderately accessible, 4 - Very accessible, 5 Extremely accessible) How well did the course alien with current industry trends and demands? 9. How likely are you to recommend this value-added course to others? YES 10. ANY SUUGESTIONSa There should be more ansareness about it in the college become many students as well as trackers are unaware 19 Deme- Wasser thould be conducted computacily to we become taxilian with the intervelor c) It is a kind request for ortification as other institutions want proof as early as Uperachle



Feedback on Certificate Course in Microtomy

Department of Zoology Certificate Course in "Microtomy" Feedback of participants Session 2023-24

1. How was the course content of the co	etiCasta course		
a) Excellent	runcate course -		
,b) Very good			
c) Good			
d) Satisfactory			
2. The practical concept given were-			
a) Excellent			
b) Very good			
c) Good			
d) Satisfactory			
3. The time duration was-			
a) Too long			
b) Too short			
(c) Appropriate			
Learned should the exercises About	s. trabajques an	d. new this	3 :
Anything suggestions/ opinion-			
All good			
3			
Name - Isha Sambata			
Class - MSc-131 Sern			
Signature - Bo.			1100

Department of Zoology

Certificate Course
in
"Microtomy"

Feedback of participants
Session 2023-24

1. How was the course content o	the certificate co	surse -		
a) Excellent				
b) Very good				
c) Good				
d) Satisfactory		*		
2. The practical concept given w	ere-			
a) Excellent				
b) Very good				
c) Good				
Satisfactory				
3. The time duration was-				
a) Too long				
. b) Too short				
Appropriate				
· · · ·				
4. What did you gain from the ex	ercises given duri	ing certificate	e course-	
The exercises give	ven were	so we	ful and	our
peachical knowle	dge was	also	grown	from
these course.	1000		-	
5. Anything suggestions/opinion Microtomy is the practicals but also our changes o	-			
Microtomy is	a biold	in we	ich no	only
the practicals	and ever	eisecs	are 4	killed
but also our	thinking	and	perspect	live
langes o	ccording	to ta	e prod	ries.
9	0			
Sight				
354				
Pishika Singh MSC I Sem				
Men Da				
NC L'Sem				
			San State of the S	AND THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF

(AUTONOMOUS), JABALPUR(M.P.)
Reaccredited 'A+' Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00)
College with Potential for Excellence (CPE) by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT.

> Department of Zoology Certificate Course

	Certificate Contra	
	in	
	"Microtomy"	
Fee	rdback of participants	
	Session 2023-24	
1. How was the course content of	the certificate course -	
. Lat Excellent		
b) Very good		
c) Good		
d) Satisfactory		
ay transferred		
3. The amortical concept given were		
The practical concept given wer	C-	
a) Excellent		
b) Very good		
c) Good		
d) Satisfactory		
3. The time duration was-		
a) Too long		
b) Too short		
c) Appropriate		
Confinition of the control of the co		
4. What did you gain from the exe	reises given during certific	cate course-
About the DEDG	ers and types	of mine dony and
the his balagisal	alide Corner	a kion
s		
5. Anything suggestions' opinion-	ent in a Lixed	J
	a :	The state of the s

<		
4: 140		
Alisha Ananya Bara		
Aticha Ananya Bara		
MSc. I Sem.		

(AUTONOMOUS), JABALPUR(M.P.)

Reaccredited 'A+' Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence (CPE) by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT.

Department of Zoology
Certificate Course
in
"Microtomy"
Feedback of participants

	III	
	"Microtomy"	
Fe	edback of participants	
	Session 2023-24	
1 How was the course contain of		
How was the course content of	the certificate course -	
(a) Excellent		
b) Very good		
. c) Good		
d) Satisfactory		
2. The practical concept given wer		
a) Excellent		
(b) Very good		
c) Good .		
d) Satisfactory		
3. The time duration was-		
a) Too long		
b) Too short		
(c) Appropriate		
(C) Appropriate		
4. What did you goin from the aver	siene siene during contification	
4. What did you gain from the exer	cises given during certificate cours	C-
J. gat an oppositioning.	to learn obout new.	Hungs
		¥
5. Anything suggestions/ opinion-		
More certificate conve	e should be undusted.	

1 61		
Name- Sana Foodbase		
class- M.sc Zoolog I sen	1-	
Name-Sana Rudouse Class- M.Sc Zoolog I sen		
Qual		
		A STATE OF S



(AUTONOMOUS), JABALPUR(M.P.)

Reaccredited 'A+' Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00)
College with Potential for Excellence (CPE) by UGC
DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT.

Certificate Course in "Microtomy"
Feedback of participants

	"Microtomy"		
	ack of participants		
	ession 2023-24		
1. How was the course content of the	certificate course -		
a) Excellent			
b) Very good			
c) Good			
d) Satisfactory			
The practical concept given were-			
a) Excellent			
b) Very good			
c) Good			
d) Satisfactory			
3. The time duration was-			
a) Too long			
b) Too short			
(a) Appropriate			
· 4. What did you gain from the exercise	Manuel Blen Lie D. S. L.	Port White Da	
Anything suggestions/ opinion-			
All good			

Name Sonalika Sidar			
Class - M. Se III sem.			
Signature - awello			
V			2012



(AUTONOMOUS), JABALPUR(M.P.)

Reaccredited 'A+' Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence (CPE) by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT.

Department of Zoology

Certificate Course
in
"Microtomy"

Feedback of participants
Session 2023-24

- 1. How was the course content of the certificate course
 - a) Excellent
 - b) Very good
 - c) Good
 - d) Satisfactory
- 2. The practical concept given were
 - a) Excellent
 - b) Very good
 - c) Good
 - d) Satisfactory
- 3. The time duration was
 - a) Too long
 - b) Too short
 - -e) Appropriate

4.	What did you gain from the exercises given during certificate course- Good knowledge about the process and learn about
5.	Anything suggestions opinion- Certificati courses should be alranged in department for me pearlical knowledge

MSc IV sem



(AUTONOMOUS), JABALPUR(M.P.)


Reaccredited 'A+' Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence (CPE) by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT.

Department of Zoology

ARPANA YADAV MGc .IVIN Gem

THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN

	in - "Microtomy" Feedback of participants Session 2023-24
ı	. How was the course content of the certificate course -
	a) Excellent
	b) Very good
	c) Good
	d) Satisfactory
2	2. The practical concept given were-
	a) Excellent
	b) Very good
	c) Good
	d) Satisfactory
57	3. The time duration was-
	a) Too long
	b) Too short
	(c) Appropriate
	4. What did you gain from the exercises given during certificate course- I gained herlect Brounledge in tissue sectioning technique en und entancling and entantie in turng much ottomes and related en un pment dearnet his tological staining
	5. Anything suggestions/ opinion- MATE HOURS ON OPPORTUNITY B.



Feedback on Certificate Course
Indian History Chronology from Ancient India to Medieval India

2023-2024

FEEDBACK REPORT

Indian History Chronology (From Ancient India to Medieval India)

Students Feedback Analysis

- Around 60% of the students agree that the course meets the desired course outcome and program specific outcomes
- Majority students agree that the Value added course offered by the department of History is helpful in the enhancement of employability skills in the UPSC, State PSC and other competitive examination.

Alumnae Feedback Analysis

- The prescribed syllabus is informative and suitable to further career/higher studies.
- The Course content caters to the intellectual demands and capabilities of students and encouragesself-learning.
- 3. The expected Programme and Course Outcomes are achieved after its completion.
- 4. The add on Course impart necessary marketable skills.

Teachers Feedback Analysis


Teachers strongly agree that the courses are up to date. They also strongly agree that the syllabus caters the CO and the complementary courses are relevant. More than 70% of teachers agree that the programme have sufficient components for experientiallearning.

Alumnae Feedback Analysis

- The prescribed syllabus is informative and suitable to further career/higher studies.
- The Course content caters to the intellectual demands and capabilities of students and encouragesself-learning.
- The expected Programme and Course Outcomes are achieved after its completion.
- The Certificate and other Add on Courses impart necessary marketable skills.

Teachers Feedback Analysis

Teachers strongly agree that the courses are up to date. They also strongly agree that the syllabus caters the PSO and CO and also the complementary courses are relevant. More than 70% of teachers agree that the programme have sufficient components for experiential learning.



Feedback on Certificate Course on Right to Information and Filing RTI

Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India Reaccredited "A+" Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT

Feedback on Curriculum: Students

Name of the Student: Diya Jain

Class: B.A. IV Sem Subject: Certificate course in Right to Information and Filing RTI

S.No.	Questions	Yes	To some extent	No
1	The Course Objectives were clear	V		
2	The Course fulfils learning needs	V		
3	Need to update syllabus	127		V
4	The Course content has contemporary relevance	V		
5	The structure of the syllabi is systematic	V		

The syllabus was well-organized and modules are relevant.

Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India Reaccredited "A+" Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT

Feedback on Curriculum: Alumni

Name of Alumni: Shrishti Rana

Subject Political Science Passing Out Year 2016

S.No.	Questions	Yes	To Some Extent	No
1	Whether objective of the course are fulfilled	V		
2	Rationale of the course content	V		
3	Syllabus enhances employability	V	1	
4	Syllabus have skill based content	٧		
5	Need to update syllabus			٧

The framed course is very comprehensive to provide detailed understanding of the Right to Information Act.

Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India Reaccredited "A+" Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT

Feedback on Curriculum: Parents

Name of the Parent Mr. Pankaj Prakash Pandey

Address Gwarighat road

Occupation Principal

S.No.	Questions	Yes	To Some Extent	No
1	Do you think that the curriculum took your ward academic and professional needs into account?	7		
2	Did a syllabus contain a statement of objectives?	V		
3	Do you think that syllabus needs revision?	V	1	
4	Did the syllabus encourage your ward to go beyond it?	V		
5	Have you discussed the relevance of the syllabus with the teachers?	V		

The syllabus is well designed which fulfills the objectives.

Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India Reaccredited "A+" Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT

Feedback on Curriculum: Teachers


Name of Faculty Dr. Sunita Mishra

Designation Professor

Department PolSc.

Institution Govt. MKB, Jbp.

S.No.	Questions	Yes	To Some Extent	No
1	The objectives are appropriate and are explained clearly	N.		
2	Programme outcome of the syllabus is well defined.	٧		
3	Syllabus helps in solving National and Regional needs.	٧		2
4	Syllabus having good academic flexibility.	٧		
5	The syllabus offers research possibilities.	٧		20
6.	The time allotted is sufficient to deal with all the topics	٧		



Feedback on Certificate Course in Human Rights

Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India Reaccredited "A+" Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT

Feedback on Curriculum: Students

Name of the Student: NIHARIKA VASVANI

Class: B.A. I Sem Subject: Certificate course in Human Rights

S.No.	Questions	Yes	To some extent	No
1	The Course Objectives were clear	V		
2	The Course fulfils learning needs	V	55.	
3	Need to update syllabus		1	1
4	The Course content has contemporary relevance	1		
5	The structure of the syllabi is systematic	٧		

Very good syllabus

Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India Reaccredited "A+" Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT

Feedback on Curriculum: Alumni

Name of Alumni: Kaveri Gupta

Subject Political Science Passing Out Year 2017

Questions	Yes	To Some Extent	No
Whether objective of the course are fulfilled	٧	15.	
Rationale of the course content	V		
Syllabus enhances employability	٧		
Syllabus have skill based content	٧	0	
Need to update syllabus		V	
	Whether objective of the course are fulfilled Rationale of the course content Syllabus enhances employability Syllabus have skill based content	Whether objective of the course are fulfilled Rationale of the course content ✓ Syllabus enhances employability Syllabus have skill based content ✓	Whether objective of the course are fulfilled Rationale of the course content Syllabus enhances employability V Syllabus have skill based content V

Excellent Course Content

Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India Reaccredited "A+" Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT

Feedback on Curriculum: Parents

Name of the Parent Sub. Maj. R.S. Tekam

Address Tilbari

Occupation Ex-Serviceman

S.No.	Questions	Yes	To Some Extent	No
1	Do you think that the curriculum took your ward academic and professional needs into account?		7	
2	Did a syllabus contain a statement of objectives?	٧		
3	Do you think that syllabus needs revision?			٧
4	Did the syllabus encourage your ward to go beyond it?	V		
5	Have you discussed the relevance of the syllabus with the teachers?	٧		

Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India Reaccredited "A+" Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT


Feedback on Curriculum: Teachers

Name of Faculty Prof. Sadhana Mandloi.


Designation Retd. Professor

S.No.	Questions	Yes	To Some Extent	No
1	The objectives are appropriate and are explained clearly	~		83
2	Programme outcome of the syllabus is well defined.	V		
3	Syllabus helps in solving National and Regional needs.	V		
4	Syllabus having good academic flexibility.	7		
5	The syllabus offers research possibilities.	٧		
6.	The time allotted is sufficient to deal with all the topics	٧	15.	21


The course content was up-to-date.





Feedback on Diploma In Business Analytics





DIPLOMA IN BUSINESS ANALYTICS FEEDBACK












Feedback on Certificate Course Global Education Partner (GEP) for Global Understanding Programme (GUP)

FEEDBACK OF GEP 2023-24

I attended the GEP program from 18th March to 28th March 2023-24 which was very effective and knowledgeable. Resource persons from different countries delivered lectures on respective topics which were very relevant to our studies as well as worldly knowledge on "Global Economy" We had interactive sessions ,for which we discussed with each other on the topic ,got chance to speak on this Global Platform. With the help of teacher coordinator each group expressed their views, discussed and shared their experiences on the global platform. It was very interesting and effective with relevance of our need of professionalism. I, Lydia the student of Near East University, Turkey am grateful to the St Aloysius College, Jabalpur ,MP, India for organizing this program and making us a partner of it.

Thank you Lydia, Near East University, Turkey



Feedback on Certificate Course Sambhashad Kaushal

प्रमाण पत्र पाठ्यक्रम 2023 -2024, सभाषण काशल-हिंदी विभाग

٠	CALLA III
7	responses
P	Publish analytics
N	lame
7	responses
	Lucky choudhary
	Mayank Prakash Dwivedi
	Gitanjali Gupta
	Taruna Rajak
	Komal verma
	Priyanka Singh

Narayan dev Warkade

Email

7 responses

lc2659250@gmail.com

dwivedimayank789@gmail.com

gitanjali7247@gmail.com

sunenarajak6@gmail.com

kv4511@gmail.com

sanjayjbp@gmail.com

narayandevwarkade211@gmail.com

Address

7 responses

Shindhi capm shidh ragar rod jabalpur

Manpur, District umaria m.p.

890/158 maa Narmada Nagar mandala road bilhari

Gopal Puram akansha parisad bilhari


Madhuban Colony

Bilhari jabalpur

Jabalpur


(AUTONOMOUS), JABALPUR(M.P.)

Reaccredited 'A+' Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence (CPE) by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT.




(AUTONOMOUS), JABALPUR(M.P.)

Reaccredited 'A+' Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence (CPE) by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT.




ST. ALOYSIUS' COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS), JABALPUR(M.P.) Reaccredited 'A+' Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence (CPE) by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT.



This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Privacy Policy.

Google Forms



Feedback on Certificate Course
CPBFI Certified Program in Banking Finance and Insurance



(AUTONOMOUS), JABALPUR(M.P.)

Reaccredited 'A+' Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence (CPE) by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT.



Certificate Programme in Banking, Finance and Insurance (CPBFI) BATCH PERFORMANCE REPORT

21 February 2024

To

The Principal and CPBFI Coordinator, St. Aloysius College, Jabalpur, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh.

We are pleased to share the Batch Performance Report in respect of Batch Number 7 (CPBFI Batch Number 883) conducted at your college/institute from 23 Sep 2023.

Our official training partner for the batch was Center for Investment Education and Learning.

OVERALL RESULT:

Number of students eligible for certificates – 36; Passing Ratio – 87.80 %.

1. Student Profile Details (Based on Gross Enrolment):

Particulars	Number of students
Gross Enrolment	55
Of which: First Generation Graduates	20
Of which: Socially weaker Categories	24

First generation graduates and graduates from socially weaker section are very important segments for this flagship CSR initiative of Bajaj Finsery.

2. Student Participation Details:

Enrolment Details	No. of students
Enrolment	55
Cancellation	5
Drop-outs	9
Regular Students	41
Drop-out Ratio %	18.00

Participation	No. of students
Pre-assessment	50
Post-assessment	42
HR Workshop	43
Feedback Survey	37
Certified	36

The student participation in the programme is driven by (a) the relevance of the programme in their individual career plans, (b) their understanding and conviction about the programme value and (c) their investment in the programme. A combination of all these factors leads to high participation.



Certificate Programme in Banking, Finance and Insurance (CPBFI) BATCH PERFORMANCE REPORT

3. Attendance Details

Course	Attendance %
Overview of Retail Banking	80.00
Overview of Insurance	80.93
Communication and Workplace skills	79.08
Total	79.82

Attendance Slab	% of students
Below 65%	0.00
65 - 75%	29.27
75 - 85%	34.15
Above 85%	36.59
Total	100.00

Attendance is driven by (1) certification eligibility criteria (CPBFI requires minimum 65% attendance), (2) training quality, which is a combination of trainer's command over subject, the pedagogy used and students' participation and (3) students' willingness and ability to attend. Non-availability of infrastructure and mandatory college events or family events have a big influence on students' ability to attend.

4. Performance in online Assessment Tests - Average Scores

Course Name	Scores before training	Scores after training	Improvement
Overview of Retail Banking	54.64	69.00	14.36
Overview of Insurance	44.14	61.43	17.29
Communication and Workplace skills	56.86	63.29	6.43
Total	50.89	64.83	13.94

Performance in online assessment tests is driven by the attendance and attentiveness of the students and by the training quality of CPBFI trainers. Students with higher attendance typically perform better than others.

5. Performance in HR Workshop Interviews

	Attended	Shortlisted	Success Rate %
Participation and success	43	39	90.70

A HR Workshop is conducted at the end of every batch, wherein recruiters from Bajaj Finserv and its subsidiaries, interview candidates for specific roles in BFSI industry. The recruiters shortlist candidates based on these interviews and provide us a list of candidates that they believe have a very high chance of being selected in a job interview. This independent assessment by professional recruiters is a good 'lead' indicator of employability.

6. Student endorsement

(AUTONOMOUS), JABALPUR(M.P.)

Reaccredited 'A+' Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence (CPE) by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT.



Certificate Programme in Banking, Finance and Insurance (CPBFI) BATCH PERFORMANCE REPORT

Voice of students	% strongly agree
My confidence has improved (Attitude Development)	18.92
My communication has improved (Skill Development)	27.03
My industry knowledge has improved (Knowledge Development)	24.32
CPBFI was the right decision for my career (Overall satisfaction)	37.84

Students join CPBFI with different objectives, so, it is important to seek their confirmation about improvement in their own attitude, skills and knowledge. This confirmation along with HR Workshop performance are key performance indicators of CPBFI.

7. Net Promoter Score (NPS)

% of respondents
56.76
8.11
48.65
Good

Net Promoter Score or NPS is globally regarded as a strong indicator of customer experience and loyalty. For CPBFI also NPS is regarded as an important success indicator.

8. Feedback about faculty:

Faculty Name	Subject	% of students#
SHAKTI DWIVEDI	Banking	29.73
SHWETA VOTTERY MUNJE	Insurance	45.95
NARAHAR KRISHNARAO DESHPANDE	CAWS	64.86

^{# %} of students that have rated the respective faculty as "Excellent".

Feedback about individual trainers help in assessment of the trainers and their delivery quality. These ratings are used to identify best trainers for each subject and to plan supporting interventions for trainers that are not at par.



Certificate Programme in Banking, Finance and Insurance (CPBFI) BATCH PERFORMANCE REPORT

9. Comments from Bajaj Finserv CPBFI Team:

What worked well -

- This was an excellent batch overall scoring well in most performance indicators.
- The students who participated in the HR workshop have performed well and it is visible from the selection ratio of the batch.

What could have been better -

We place on record our appreciation for the efforts put in by the coordinator Dr. Rupali Ahluwalia, and other staff in making the batch successful. Overall, some of the students have sincerely completed the program and performed well and we are confident, every one of them has a successful career ahead!


Thank you!!

Team BEYOND.


Bajaj Finserv Limited

6th Floor, Bajaj Finserv Corporate Office, Off Pune-Ahmedragar Road, Viman Nagar, Pune – 411014.

LinkedIn: www.linkedin.com/company/finservcpbfi



Feedback on Certificate Course
Fundamental & Technical Analysis for Stock Trading.



Program Feedback Report on

Fundamental and Technical Analysis on Stock Trading

30-Hours Training Organized

By

St. Aloysius College (Autonomous) & Optus Edtech Solutions







(AUTONOMOUS), JABALPUR(M.P.)


Reaccredited 'A+' Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence (CPE) by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT.

TRAINERS INFORMATION


1

Knowledge: How well do you think he demonstrated knowledge in the subject matter? (1-5 scale, 1 being poor and 5 being excellent)


57 responses




Communication: Rate communication skills during the training sessions. 58 reaponees




Engagement: How engaging was delivering the content and interacting with participants? 58 responses






OVERALL TRAINING EXPERIENCE

a. Content Relevance: Did the training content meet your expectations and needs? 58 responses




b. Practical Application: Do you feel confident in applying the knowledge gained from the training in real-world scenarios?

57 responses



c. Overall Satisfaction: How satisfied are you with the overall training experience? 57 responses






(AUTONOMOUS), JABALPUR(M.P.)


Reaccredited 'A+' Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence (CPE) by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT,

2


Knowledge: How well do you think he demonstrated knowledge in the subject matter? 58 responses



Communication: Rate communication skills during the training sessions. 58 responses



Engagement: How engaging was he in delivering the content and interacting with participants? 58 responses





(AUTONOMOUS), JABALPUR(M.P.)

Reaccredited 'A+' Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence (CPE) by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT.


OVERALL TRAINING EXPERIENCE

a. Content Relevance: Did the training content meet your expectations and needs? 58 responses




b. Practical Application: Do you feel confident in applying the knowledge gained from the training in real-world scenarios?


57 responses



c. Overall Satisfaction: How satisfied are you with the overall training experience? 57 responses




b. On a scale of 1-5, how would you rate the value you received against the cost of the training? 58 responses



FUTURE PLANS


a. Would you be interested in receiving further assistance from Optus and The Land of Learners to achieve your future financial goals?
58 responses



FUTURE CAREER AMBITIONS


FUTURE TRAINING PREFERENCES

Which fields would you like to receive more training in? (Check all that apply) 57 responses



VALUE FOR MONEY

a. Do you believe the training provided was worth the investment? 58 responses





(AUTONOMOUS), JABALPUR(M.P.)

Reaccredited 'A+' Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.68/4.00) College with Potential for Excellence (CPE) by UGC DST-FIST Supported & Star College Scheme by DBT.

Branch/Department:

58 responses



Year:

58 responses

